

Default Report

POL 2083 (Spring 2021)

September 6, 2021 11:22 PM EDT

Q2 - For the items below, please provide a letter grade using the university's scale.




Data source misconfigured for this visualization.

| # | Field | A | A- | B+ | B | B- | C+ | C | C- |
|---|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| 1 | Instructor's knowledge of the subject | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 2 | Instructor's enthusiasm and interest in teaching | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 3 | Instructor's ability to present the subject matter clearly | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 4 | Instructor's willingness to assist students | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 5 | Instructor's openness to differences of opinion | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 6 | Instructor's organization of the course material | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 7 | Usefulness of the assigned readings | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 8 | Fairness of evaluation methods used by the instructor | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |
| 9 | Overall rating of this instructor's general effectiveness as a teacher | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% |

Showing rows 1 - 9 of 9

Showing sample data...

Q6 - Would you recommend this instructor to another student?



| # | Field | Choice Count |
|---|-----------|--------------|
| 1 | Yes | 87.50% 7 |
| 2 | No | 0.00% 0 |
| 3 | Uncertain | 12.50% 1 |
| | | 8 |

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q5 - If you'd like to comment about any of the categories, please type them in the box below. If not, you may skip to the next section.

Instructor's knowledge of the subject - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

He knew about a wide range of sub-disciplines and topics.

Fantastic class! Dr. Szarejko took the time to complement his own subject expertise with scholars across academia.

He was willing to admit when things were beyond his purview, something I actually found very endearing, this question seems to elicit a somewhat poor representation of this dynamic.

Instructor's enthusiasm and interest in teaching - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Always very professional, clear, and interested in hearing what students have to say.

Instructor's ability to present the subject matter clearly - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

See above.

Instructor's willingness to assist students - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Truly cares about the success of his students.

Instructor's openness to differences of opinion - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Very good at remaining open and neutral to different positions, not rigid and doctrinal.

Instructor's organization of the course material - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Easy to follow and access all course material.

Pedagogically speaking, I've never been introduced to a subject through foundational texts and pieces on methodology. It was a new experience, and one that taught me a lot.

Usefulness of the assigned readings - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Difficult but interesting overall.

Each of the readings had a particular purpose that built on the last. From "Ambiguous symbols" to "essence of decisions" to "dollar hegemony," each piece presented a unique and new perspective on what we had/n't learned thus far!

I found that the readings were too long

Fairness of evaluation methods used by the instructor - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Very reasonable, only concerned with learning and rewarding effort and sound analysis.

Instructor's use of online tools and technology for course - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Accessible and clear.

Overall rating of this instructor's general effectiveness as a teacher - Descriptive comments

Descriptive comments

Professor Szarejko is very professional, friendly, and overall a great guy. His expectations are clear and he only wants his students to succeed.

By far, one of my favorite professors during my college experience. For a first year professor, the experience was anything but "freshman." It was quite refreshing and exciting, and this was one of two classes which I came to excited to hear from and discuss with the professor and my classmates every period this semester.

One of the two best professors I have had at UC. Professor Szarejko is very knowledgeable and kept the class very interesting throughout the semester. I liked the discussion basis of the class as it made it feel more a class about politics and international relations compared to other classes taught here.

Q7 - What aspects of this course had the greatest value?

What aspects of this course had the greatest value?

Discussion on readings

The masterful use of papers and content that constantly bridged national security topics, methodology, and theory together throughout the semester.

The discussion basis was easily the best part.

readings

The discussions and the first movers.

The professor was understanding and experienced with the subject

Professor Szarejko's handsome face and calming voice

Course readings.

Q8 - What changes would recommend to make this course better?

What changes would recommend to make this course better?

Maybe some guided questions for the assigned readings because they were tricky at times

Nothing.

n/a


None

More analyzing the readings as a class rather than debating opinions, sometimes it was hard to form opinions because I didn't understand the text

Present the materials via PowerPoint. Having students go on long rants was annoying and took too much time.

N/A

Q9 - Please select your reason(s) for taking this course. You may select more than one.




| # | Field | Choice Count |
|---|---|--------------|
| 1 | Required for Political Science or other major | 30.77% 4 |
| 2 | Recommended by another student or friend | 0.00% 0 |
| 3 | Recommended by faculty advisor | 7.69% 1 |
| 4 | Instructor's reputation | 15.38% 2 |
| 5 | Interest in the subject | 46.15% 6 |
| 6 | Easy to get a good grade | 0.00% 0 |
| 7 | Convenient because of online offering | 0.00% 0 |

13

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q10 - What is your class rank?



| # | Field | Choice Count |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | Freshman | 0.00% 0 |
| 2 | Sophomore | 25.00% 2 |
| 3 | Junior | 50.00% 4 |
| 4 | Senior | 25.00% 2 |
| 5 | M.A. Student | 0.00% 0 |
| 6 | PhD Student | 0.00% 0 |
| 7 | Other | 0.00% 0 |
| | | 8 |

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q11 - What is your major?

What is your major?

History, minor in poli sci

Political Science & International Affairs

International Relations


international affairs

Political science

Political Science

Business Analytics and Operations Management

Q12 - What do you think your grade will be for this course?



| # | Field | Choice Count |
|----|-------|--------------|
| 1 | A | 37.50% 3 |
| 2 | A- | 50.00% 4 |
| 3 | B+ | 0.00% 0 |
| 4 | B | 12.50% 1 |
| 5 | B- | 0.00% 0 |
| 6 | C+ | 0.00% 0 |
| 7 | C | 0.00% 0 |
| 8 | C- | 0.00% 0 |
| 9 | D+ | 0.00% 0 |
| 10 | D | 0.00% 0 |
| 11 | D- | 0.00% 0 |
| 12 | F | 0.00% 0 |

Showing rows 1 - 13 of 13

End of Report